## Synthesis of polyprenylamines from plant polyprenols

V. V. Veselovsky\* and A. V. Lozanova

N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 47 Leninsky prosp., 119991 Moscow, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 (095) 135 5328. E-mail: ves@ioc.ac.ru

A simple method for the synthesis of polyprenylamines by a two-step transformation of plant polyprenol mixtures has been developed.

**Key words:** polyprenols, polyprenylamines, *N*-polyprenylphthalimides, Mitsunobu reaction.

Previously, it was reported that amino derivatives of linear isoprenoids possess immunomodulating, antiulcer, and antithrombotic activities (see Ref. 1 and references cited therein).

Here we report a simple method for the preparation of this type of compound based on readily available polyprenol mixtures from mulberry leaves (1a) and pine needles (1b). In view of the lability of the starting prenols and their derivatives, we used an approach developed by Mitsunobu and coworkers<sup>2</sup> for direct conversion of aliphatic alcohols into *N*-alkylphthalimides, whose hydrazinolysis smoothly yields alkylamines (Scheme 1). Previ-

## Scheme 1

m = 3, n = 7, 8 (a); m = 2, n = 11-14 (b)

Reagents and conditions: a. phthalimide/(NCO<sub>2</sub>Et)<sub>2</sub>/Ph<sub>3</sub>P, THF, 20 °C; b. N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, THF — MeOH, 50 °C.

ously,<sup>3</sup> this strategy has been employed successfully to prepare  $C_{15}$ -prenylamine from farnesol.

The transformation of long-chain allylic alcohols 1a and 1b into N-prenylphthalimides 2a and 2b also proved to be rather efficient. Mixtures 2a and 2b obtained in ~70% yield after purification by chromatography were subsequently treated with  $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ . This reaction proceeded most smoothly in MeOH—THF ensuring homogeneity of the reaction mixture. The yields of the target products 3a and 3b were 43 and 53%, respectively, which can be considered quite satisfactory in view of the product lability and high molecular weights.

The structures of compounds 2 and 3 obtained for the first time were proved by spectral and elemental analyses. In particular, their  $^1H$  NMR spectra exhibit signals typical of this type of polyolefin. The  $^1H$  NMR spectra of phthalimides 2 and amino derivatives 3 contain a doublet for the  $CH_2N$  group ( $\delta \sim 4.3$  and  $\delta \sim 3.2$ , respectively) and signals for the aromatic protons in the case of phthalimides. The IR spectra of amines 3 display typical NH absorption bands at 3440 and 3460 cm $^{-1}$ .

Thus, we developed a facile route to polyprenylamines based on relatively accessible plant polyprenols. Study of the pharmacological properties of these compounds appears to be of interest.

## **Experimental**

IR spectra were recorded for solutions in CHCl<sub>3</sub> on a Specord M-80 instrument.  $^1H$  NMR spectra were measured for solutions in CDCl<sub>3</sub> using a Bruker AC-200 spectrometer. The  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  chemical shifts were referred to the solvent signals ( $\delta_H$  7.27,  $\delta_C$  77.0). TLC was performed on Silufol plates.

A sample of polyprenols  ${\bf 1a}$  with the ratio of the major homologs  $C_{55}H_{90}O:C_{60}H_{98}O\approx 2:1$  (cf. Ref. 5) was kindly provided by L. L. Danilov (N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, RAS) and the sample of polyprenols  ${\bf 1b}$ ,  $C_{70}H_{114}O:C_{75}H_{122}O:C_{80}H_{130}O:C_{85}H_{138}O\approx 6:13:14:7$  (cf. Refs. 5, 6),

by V. I. Roshchin (St.-Petersburg Forestry Engineering Academy).

Commercial phthalimide, triphenylphosphine, diethyl azodicarboxylate, and  $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$  (Aldrich) were used. The solvents were purified by standard procedures.

Column chromatography was performed on SiO<sub>2</sub> (40/100) and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (alkaline, 40/250) produced by Chemapol (Czechia).

Polyprenylphthalimides (2a). Diethyl azodicarboxylate (0.07 g, 0.40 mmol) was added with stirring at 20 °C (Ar) to a solution of polyprenols 1a (0.27 g, ~0.34 mmol), phthalimide (0.06 g, 0.41 mmol), and Ph<sub>3</sub>P (0.11 g, 0.42 mmol) in 2 mL of THF. The reaction mixture was kept for 2 h at 20 °C and concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was triturated with ether and the insoluble material was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue (0.5 g) was chromatographed on 15 g of SiO<sub>2</sub> in ether—hexane (1:4, v/v) to give 0.22 g (~69%) of a mixture of homologs 2a as a colorless oil with  $R_{\rm f}$  0.5 (ether—hexane, 1:4). IR,  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 795, 805, 900, 1045, 1052, 1262, 1330, 1350, 1665, 1720, 2860, 2930, 3000. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, δ: 1.61 (br.s, *cis*-Me); 1.69 (br.s, *trans*-Me); 1.73 (br.s, MeC(3)); 1.93–2.17 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>); 4.28 (br.d, HC(1), J = 8.0 Hz); 5.15 (m, HC=); 5.29 (br.t, HC(2), J = 8.0 Hz); 7.65–7.88 (m, HC arom.). Found (%): N, 1.81.  $C_{63}H_{93}NO_2$ ,  $C_{68}H_{101}NO_2$ . Calculated (%): N, 1.56, 1.45, respectively.

**Polyprenylphthalimides (2b).** The reaction of polyprenols **1b** (0.76 g, ~0.71 mmol) with phthalimide (0.13 g, 0.88 mmol), Ph<sub>3</sub>P (0.24 g, 0.92 mmol), and diethyl azodicarboxylate (0.14 g, 0.80 mmol) in 5 mL of THF was carried out as described above to give 0.58 g (~68%) of a mixture of homologs **2b** as a colorless oil with  $R_{\rm f}$  0.58 (ether—hexane, 1:4). IR, v/cm<sup>-1</sup>: 790, 805, 905, 1035, 1040, 1050, 1265, 1340, 1360, 1660, 1720, 2870, 2930, 3010. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, δ: 1.61 (br.s, *cis*-Me); 1.70 (br.s, *trans*-Me); 1.72 (br.s, MeC(3)); 1.95—2.20 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>); 4.29 (br.d, HC(1), J = 7.7 Hz); 5.14 (m, HC=); 5.30 (br.t, HC(2), J = 7.7 Hz); 7.67—7.87 (m, HC arom.). Found (%): N, 1.40. C<sub>78</sub>H<sub>117</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>83</sub>H<sub>125</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>88</sub>H<sub>133</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>93</sub>H<sub>141</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. Calculated (%): N, 1.27, 1.20, 1.13, 1.07, respectively.

**Polyprenylamines (3a).** A solution of phthalimides **2a** (0.44 g,  $\sim$ 0.47 mmol) and N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (0.24 g, 4.7 mmol) in a mixture of THF (3.5 mL) and MeOH (1.5 mL) was heated for 3 h at 50 °C (Ar) and diluted with ether. The solution was washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and water. The organic layer was dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*, and the residue (0.6 g) was chromatographed on 30 g of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Chloroform—methanol gradient elution (up to 5% of methanol) gave

0.16 g (~43 %) of a mixture of homologs **3a** as a colorless oil with  $R_{\rm f}$  0.65 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>: MeOH: H<sub>2</sub>O, 45:15:1, v/v). IR, v/cm<sup>-1</sup>: 840, 1000, 1250, 1310, 1380, 1450, 1580, 1665, 2715, 2860, 2920, 2960, 3020, 3380, 3440. <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$ : 1.60 (br.s, *cis*-Me); 1.71 (br.s, *trans*-Me); 1.73 (br.s, MeC(3)); 1.90—2.15 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.26 (br.d, HC(1), J = 7.1 Hz); 5.13 (m, HC=); 5.28 (br.t, HC(2), J = 7.1 Hz). Found (%): N, 2.12. C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>91</sub>N, C<sub>60</sub>H<sub>99</sub>N. Calculated (%): N, 1.83, 1.68, respectively.

**Polyprenylamines (3b).** The reaction of phthalimides **2b** (0.76 g, ~0.63 mmol) with  $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$  (0.36 g, 7.1 mmol) in a mixture of THF (7 mL) and MeOH (3 mL) carried out as described above gave 0.36 g (53%) of amines **3b** as a colorless oil with  $R_f$  0.78 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>: MeOH:  $H_2O$ , 45:15:1, v/v). IR, v/cm<sup>-1</sup>: 835, 1190, 1215, 1375, 1450, 1510, 1660, 2720, 2860, 2915, 2970, 3010, 3380, 3460. <sup>1</sup>H NMR, δ: 1.61 (br.s, *cis*-Me); 1.70 (br.s, *trans*-Me); 1.72 (br.s, MeC(3)); 1.92–2.17 (m, CH<sub>2</sub>); 3.24 (br.d, HC(1), J = 7.4 Hz); 5.14 (m, HC=); 5.29 (br.t, HC(2), J = 7.4 Hz). Found (%): N, 1.50.  $C_{70}H_{115}N$ ,  $C_{75}H_{123}N$ ,  $C_{80}H_{131}N$ ,  $C_{85}H_{139}N$ . Calculated (%): N, 1.44, 1.35, 1.27, 1.19, respectively.

The work was financially supported by the State Program for Leading Scientific Schools of the Russian Federation (project NSh-1802.2003.3).

## References

- 1. N. Ya. Grigor'eva, and A. M. Moiseenkov. *Khim.-Farm. Zhurn.* [Chem-Pharm. J.], 1989, 144 (in Russian).
- 2. O. Mitsunobu, M. Wada, and T. Sano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 679.
- 3. S. E. Sen and S. L. Roach, Synthesis, 1995, 756.
- 4. F. Bohlmann, R. Zeisberg, and E. Klein, *Org. Magn. Res.*, 1975, 7, 426.
- E. Swiezewska, W. Sasak, T. Mankowski, W. Jankowski, T. Vogtman, I. Krajewska, J. Hertel, E. Skoczylas, and T. Chojnacki, *Acta Biochim. Polonica*, 1994, 41, 222.
- (a) K. Ibata, M. Mizuno, Y. Tanaka, and A. Kageyu, *Phytochemistry*, 1984, 23, 783; (b) I. C. Pavlutskaya and V. I. Roshchin, *Khimiya Drevesiny* [Wood Chemistry], 1987, 102 (in Russian).

Received June 18, 2003